

**REMARKS**

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 9 are objected to because of informalities. Appropriate corrections to the claims have been made.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 10 and 11 have been cancelled.

Claims 3-9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 3-9 and 14 have been amended to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 12, 13 and 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 has been rewritten to include all of the limitations of allowable claim 12. Claims 17-20 have been cancelled. Applicant submits that independent claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that dependent claims 3-9 and 13-16 are in condition for allowance by virtue of their dependency on claim 1.

New dependent claims 21-26 have been added. No new matter has been added. Support for dependent claims 21-26 are found on pages 7 and 11-15.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that claims 1, 3-9, 13-16 and 21-26 are in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request the reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,  
Subramanian, Vijay et al.

**SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:**

Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department

Customer Number: 22917

By: Lalita W. Pace  
Lalita W. Pace  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No.: 39,427  
Telephone: 847-538-5855  
Fax: 847-576-3750